UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/597,674	08/03/2006	Thomas Schnelle	B1180/20058	4776	
	7590 12/02/201 ISE, BERNSTEIN,	0	EXAMINER		
COHEN & POR	KOTILOW, LTD.	DIETERLE, JENNIFER M			
11TH FLOOR, SEVEN PENN CENTER 1635 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2212		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1759		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/02/2010	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@crbcp.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of About a success	10/597,674	SCHNELLE ET	AL.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jennifer Dieterle	1759	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ldress
This application is abandoned in view of:		•	
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office</li> <li>(a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on</li> </ol>	failing or Transmission dated; month(s)) which expired on	<u></u> .	·
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed an Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which pla	aces the
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper rep	ly, to the non-
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.			
<ul> <li>2.  Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was</li></ul>	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Tr	ansmission dated
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1		CFR 1.18(d), is \$	
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no		· // -	
<ul> <li>3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37).</li> <li>(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> </ul>			
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.			
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.</li> </ol>	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire i	interest, or all of
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>	attorney or agent (acting in a represe	entative capacity u	nder 37 CFR
<ol> <li>The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim</li> </ol>		e the period for see	eking court review
7. The reason(s) below:			
Applicant's representative, David Tener, has been conot be filed.	contacted and noted that a reply to	o office action ma	ailed 3/29/10 will
/Alexa D. Neckel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1723			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (	CFR 1.181, should be	promptly filed to